

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

ERV SESSION PROCEDURES REPORT

WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONTROL NUMBER: I-3	NICKNAME:
DATE OF SESSION: 12 May 87	TARGET COUNTRY: [REDACTED] SG1A
REFERENCES: SPR-001-B7, dated 11 May 87	SESSION NUMBER: 02
DATE OF REPORT: 13 May 87	MISSION STATUS: Continuing
TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV	SOURCE IDENTIFIER: 101

1. (S/NF/SK) TASKING: The tasking referenced in above cited SPR remained in effect without modification or additions. The Interviewer has been permitted to read the results of sessions completed by other Source's pertaining to this site. That data indicated only that Source seemed to be in consonance with much of the material provided by these other viewers. It was not assumed to be confirmatory since no "customer" feedback has been provided to date. During this session, Source was provided with the same encrypted coordinates as before, as per Extended Remote Viewing (ERV) protocols. In the pre-brief for the session, Source was also told that the main interest during this session would be the various structures described in the previous session as well as His fleeting mention of the concepts of a, "test" and "monitoring". No other cuing or descriptive data was provided to Source other than cited above.

2. (S/NF/SK) SESSION: During the actual session there were no reportable anomalies or incidents which may have affected or influenced the data provide by Source. This session was conducted in its entirety utilizing ERV protocols.

3. (S/NF/SK) SUMMARY:

a. (S/NF/SK) The following narrative, contained within quotation marks, is an abridged copy of Source's post-session written summary. This summary has been editorialized, (as indicated), by the undersigned for clarity, grammar and structure. The actual unabridged summary is maintained in the mission field dossier at this location along with any other material produced during the session,

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

~~SECRET~~ NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

i.e., sketches, notes, etc. BEGIN SUMMARY:

"(The) area reminds me of (the) Lancaster/Barstow (California desert) area. (There is an) ugly bunker like structure (located) inside a berm. This structure's primary component is underground. (There is) a crescent shape (array) of metallic (poles with) crosses interconnected (to one another) and (all) facing in (towards the curve of the crescent). At the end of the crescent is a high metallic coffee pot shaped structure. This structure has (something) to do with a tremendous amount of kinetic energy that is kept circulating (within the structure). (There is) test(ing) and monitoring going on (at this location). (After) a final check men (in the area) move out of the way. There is (an impression of) something "spit" (Source quotation marks) in to the sky. (It) goes up to apogee (and) curves toward (an) orbit and (is then) broken into two components by a bolt (of light) which I believe came from the high coffee pot (shaped structure). This (incident) is a success. (I make telepathic contact with a knowledgeable individual at the bunker who informs me that) the (perceived) size of the success can be controlled success can be controlled by manipulating the figures/statistics. (The) primary prospects (for success) for the coffee pot (shaped device) are long range, however pressure may be (exerted) to emphasize (the) short range goals at the expense of the long range picture. (I perceive from the individual with whom I had telepathic contact) the least hint of subterfuge. (The) coffee pot (shaped device) has something to do with (the concept of) bracketing (as used in the targeting of artillery rounds) and it may be connected in someway with a platform in the sky". END SUMMARY

b. (S/NF/SK) During the actual session, Source reported other data which may impact on the final assessment of this target. That data is listed below in raw unevaluated form:

(1) (S/NF/SK) The berm around the structures appeared to be located in an area, "not real green, not real brown, not lush but not really sandy". The low, flat structure located within that berm was described as, "hardened, functional, grey or light beige, dark inside...". Also within this berm area, (or associated with it) are a series of, "temporary, metallic, low, box like structures facing inward...".

(2) (S/NF/SK) The "coffee pot" shaped structure was further described as, "cylindrical, silverish, (located) a little to the right of the center of the crescent (of poles) as viewed from the bunker, (low, flat, structure cited above). This device had wires leading to/from it and emitted a steady humming noise. Men observed around this device wore full body coveralls and were described as, "very competent...a feeling of expectation, excitement...".

~~SECRET~~ NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

~~SECRET~~ NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

(3) (S/NF/SK) Source provided an early set of key words to describe the activity surrounding the "coffee pot" which included, "timed, programmed, monitored, plotted, tri-sected, correlated, controlled, relayed, instructed, charged, observed, watched, measured, and metered..." In describing the energy associated with this object, Source perceived the following description, "kinetic energy, like a muscle at rest, like an object pushing up against another object causing a tremendous potential energy, coiled energy, greater than the sum of the parts, the energy is increased geometrically as opposed to arithmetically. The energy is built up and stored in a way, recirculated but the potential is always there. This energy is associated with the bottom (portion) of the coffee maker". The interior functions of the device were described as, "hairpin like tubes, cables which resemble pipes. (The) energy is kept circulating, different than a storage battery, the energy is always there. There is a thick, cool fluid...There's something here that takes the place of brushes (electric rotor brushes). When things pass by brushes there is energy but this thing does it differently. When the energy flows by there is more efficiency since the brushes have been replaced. The bottom part is cylindrical in a way, it feeds into itself, builds onto itself..."

(4) (S/NF/SK) At the time of the target occurrence, the coffee pot is described as, "build(ing) up energy, all being monitored and tested but "test" is only a close word, there's a surge like the coffee pot spits something in the sky...something from the top, a build up of kinetic energy, a hum, an instantaneous release, a shooting up in the sky, something shooting from the coffee pot...". At this point another image intrudes into the session when Source cannot determine if the "thing" being shot from the coffee pot is physical or some sort of energy. He states, "maybe its the top of the coffee pot, (something) sleeker, cylindrical, two components (to the incident)...straight up, arcing, some sort of orbit, something happens at that point, like a split, like its programmed to happen...it gets smaller, separates, a boost, the components separate, the top component is not entirely metal, it continues to shoot up...goes up, stops like it's hit by something...like a light bolt..."

(5) (S/NF/SK) The concept of the "light bolt" was important to Source so He was directed to view the entire sequence again to include further descriptions of the image of the light bolt. Source's description was essentially as follows: " Like a light bolt, a bolt of light, like something hits this, maybe the coffee pot hits this, it's confusing, something starts to go into orbit, starting to go into the arc when it's hit, goes into two pieces, something is climbing, curving, it meets something like a bolt...(the) bolt is coming down from the sky, something in the air, it originates from something in the air, (something) that drifts, the bolt comes down

~~SECRET~~ NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

from above, higher up...something goes up an something higher up hits it with the bolt...(the bolt) is white, almost opaque, extremely rapid, directed, channeled...you can almost see through it...I'm still confused...".

(6) (S/NF/SK) Source was again directed to perceive the entire sequence of events pertaining to this incident and to place all the components at the site in their relative spatial and functional location as pertains to the incident. Source's description of this incident then went essentially as follows: "(within) the coffee pot (there is) a building up of energy. (At) the ugly bunker (there) is monitoring, reporting, relaying information, watching (all of which form the) primary focus of the human activity (in the bunker). At the silo (previously mentioned), there is waiting, bouncing back and off. Back at the coffee pot (there is) a release of energy, climbing, climbing. The bunker (is) monitoring, intersecting, almost aiming, digitalizing, computing, recording, checking. Over at the silo (there is) monitoring, reaching, kicking backout...(at the bunker) men running around but like in a drill, no panic. Whatever the hell is going on it was successful in a way that points the way to (the) future. This is a step (and) not the final conclusion".

(7) (S/NF/SK) Utilizing His access to, "a knowledgeable individual at the site", Source was directed to ascertain the actual EEI questions, e.g., potentials for success, strengths and weaknesses. Source perceived the following answers: "(Potentials) - A two path answer - long and short range. Given certain constants and licenses, chances for success (and) broadening or crossing over into other areas (are) all very good. Immediate (success) is less important except as stepping stone for long range (although) immediate success (is also) likely. (Concept of) success (is) modified up or down by manipulation of data, in a way therefore, success is relative. You need a correlation, a small success to compare it against. (Strengths) - Newness, its novelty, speed, (it's) difficult to detect, difficult to counter, opens the way for many other areas. (Weakness) - (It's) bulky, takes time to prepare and to build (energy). At this point (it's) reliability (can) only be assured with extraordinary preparation. Under routine conditions, reliability decreases. (It's) costly. It requires a large number of these "coffee pots". Politically (it's) difficult to justify in a way. A concept whose benefit is a long way down the road.

4. (S/NF/SK) OPS FEEDBACK: Source became very confused during the session and was unable to clearly and sequentially trace the various components utilized in this incident. Even with this, "failure", Source was able to convey the impression of a high-tech test of some sort in which an overhead platform was used in conjunction with a ground based system of tremendous energy output. The result was the interception of a fast moving object in orbital or sub-orbital

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

flight. The test was a success and the potential for future successes was apparently great. These facts, at least in part, respond to the basic EEI and therefore, the session did carry with it some modicum of success.

SGFOIA3



~~SECRET~~/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY